Ring-Closing Metathesis in the Synthesis of BC Ring-Systems of Taxol

Cong Ma,^[a] Stéphanie Schiltz,^[a] Xavier F. Le Goff,^[b] and Joëlle Prunet*^[a]

Abstract: BC ring-systems of taxol with different or no protecting group for the C1,C2-diol moiety have been efficiently synthesized. The eight-membered B ring is formed by a ring-closing metathesis reaction (RCM) between the C10 and C11 carbon atoms. The influence of the 1,2-diol protecting group on the RCM reaction has been studied in detail.

Keywords: metathesis • organic chemistry · ruthenium · synthesis · taxol

Introduction

Taxol (1) and Taxotere (2) are very effective agents for the treatment of breast and ovarian cancer worldwide.^[1] In spite of numerous synthetic approaches, including six total syntheses.^[2] they still constitute a remarkable challenge for organic chemists because of their sterically hindered and highly functionalized structures.

Ring-closing metathesis (RCM) has been shown to be a very versatile and efficient tool for the synthesis of natural products.[3] During our previous studies towards the synthesis of taxol, we observed the first formation of a trans cyclooctene by RCM, which closed the B-ring between C9 and $C10$.^[4] We then envisioned a semiconvergent retrosynthesis of compound 3, which is an intermediate described by Holton in his synthesis of taxol (Scheme 1). The A ring would be formed at a late stage by performing an intramolecular aldol reaction between the two ketone groups at C11 and C13 in compound $4^{[5]}$ The B ring of 5 would be formed by ring-closing metathesis between the two alkenes at C10 and C11. The metathesis precursor 6 would be prepared by a Shapiro reaction between the lithium derivative of hydrazone 8 and aldehyde 7. Here, we wish to report the synthesis

[a] C. Ma, Dr. S. Schiltz, Dr. J. Prunet Laboratoire de Synthèse Organique CNRS UMR 7652, École Polytechnique, DCSO 91128 Palaiseau Cedex (France) Fax: (+33) 169-335-972 E-mail: joelle.prunet@polytechnique.fr [b] Dr. X. F. Le Goff

- Laboratoire Hététoéléments et Coordination CNRS UMR 7653, École Polytechnique, DCPH 91128 Palaiseau Cedex, (France)
- Supporting information for this article is available on the WWW under http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/chem.200800774.

Scheme 1. Retrosynthesis of taxol.

of models of compound 5, with no alkoxy group at C7 and no ketal function at C13. In addition to our preliminary study, $[6]$ the influence of the group protecting the C1,C2-diol moiety has been thoroughly studied.

Results and Discussion

Aldehyde 9 was prepared as a racemic mixture, according to our previous work.[6] Ketone 10 was synthesized in an excel-

FULL PAPER

lent overall yield by a Barbier reaction between prenyl bromide and valeraldehyde, $[7]$ followed by oxidation of the resulting alcohol with iodoxybenzoic acid.^[8] Transformation of this ketone to aldehyde 9 was effected by conversion to cyanohydrin 11 with trimethylsilyl cyanide in the presence of $ZnI₂$, and reduction of the latter by DIBAL-H (Scheme 2).^[9]

Scheme 2. Synthesis of racemic aldehyde 9: a) Prenyl bromide, Zn, aq. NH₄Cl, THF, 20 $^{\circ}$ C; b) IBX, THF, 20 $^{\circ}$ C, 98% over 2 steps; c) TMSCN, ZnI₂, CH₂Cl₂, reflux, 97%; d) DIBAL-H, CH₂Cl₂, -78-0 °C; silica gel, -20 °C, 85%. IBX=iodoxybenzoic acid, TMSCN=trimethylsilyl cyanide, DIBAL-H=diisobutyl aluminum hydride.

As shown in Scheme 3, the synthesis of optically active hydrazone 12 began with known diol 13 , $[10]$ which could be synthesized according to d'Angelo's method.^[11] Protection

Scheme 3. Synthesis of enantiopure hydrazone $(-)$ -12: a) DMAP·TrCl, CH₂Cl₂, reflux; IBX, THF, 20° C, 77% over 2 steps; b) TrisNHNH₂, concd HCl, THF, 20 $^{\circ}$ C, 96%. DMAP=4-dimethylaminopyridine, Tr= trityl, Tris=triisopropylbenzenesulfonyl.

of the primary alcohol of compound 13 as its trityl ether, followed by oxidation of the secondary alcohol provided ketone 14, which was efficiently converted to hydrazone 12 with trisyl hydrazine under acidic conditions.

The Shapiro coupling reaction of racemic aldehyde 9 and enantiopure hydrazone 12 was then studied. Although nBuLi is a common choice for the base employed in the Shapiro reaction, use of *t*BuLi was proven necessary in our case. An unsuccessful trial with MeLi confirmed the impact of the pK_a of the base on our Shapiro coupling. The desired product was obtained as a mixture of two diastereomers. Deprotection of the tertiary hydroxy site by removal of the TMS group was then immediately performed to provide diols 15a and 15b, which were easily separated by column chromatography in very good yield (Scheme 4).

In our preliminary study, $[6]$ ketone 14 was converted into the corresponding vinyl bromide in three steps, and the coupling reaction effected by lithium–halogen exchange to form the required vinyl lithium intermediate. Diols 15a and 15b

Scheme 4. Diastereoselective Shapiro coupling reaction. a) tBuLi, THF, -78 °C; b) HCl (1_N), 20°C, 43% for **15a**, 37% for **15b**, respectively.

were produced in 71% combined yield after hydrolysis of the TMS ether. The present route saves two steps, and the Shapiro coupling, although a delicate reaction to perform, leads to the desired diols in a high yield (80%).

The coupling reaction is very diastereoselective in favor of the trans diols (two isomers are obtained because the aldehyde 9 is racemic).^[12] This high diastereoselectivity had already been obtained for similar coupling reactions realized during previous studies on taxol precursors in our laboratory (Scheme 4).^[13] The structure of diol $15b$ was determined by X -ray analysis;^[6] this diastereomer possesses the configuration found in taxol at the C1, C2, and C8 stereocenter. The structure of compound 15 a was secured by X-ray analysis of its triol derivative $16a$ (Scheme 5).^[6]

Scheme 5. Synthesis of metathesis precursors, carbonates 18a and 18b: a) Amberlyst H-15, MeOH, 20° C; b) PBu₃, *o*-nitrophenylselenocyanate, THF, 20 $^{\circ}$ C; c) carbonyl diimidazole, toluene, reflux; d) H_2O_2 , THF, 20 $^{\circ}$ C.

Chem. Eur. J. 2008, 14, 7314 – 7323 © 2008 Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, Weinheim <www.chemeurj.org> – 7315

Both isomers 15a and 15b were converted to substrates for the metathesis reaction, to evaluate the influence of the relative stereochemistry of the diol motif and the C8 stereocenter on the metathesis reaction. All the following reactions have been performed on the two diastereomers separately. The primary trityloxy group had to be converted into a terminal olefin. For this purpose, triols 16a and 16b were first obtained by treatment of 15 a and 15 b with Amberlyst-15 resin in methanol (Scheme 5). The terminal olefin was then obtained by using Grieco's method: after selective transformation of the primary alcohol into the corresponding selenide (which also served as a temporary protecting group), diols 17 a and 17 b were protected as their carbonate derivatives, and final treatment with H_2O_2 led to the metathesis precursors $18a$ and $18b$. The three steps (from the triols) were performed without any intermediate purification. This sequence is slightly more efficient than the one we previously used (protection of the diol as the carbonate, hydrolysis of the trityl group, and elimination of the alcohol with Grieco's procedure). $[6]$

Metathesis precursors 19a and 19b, in which the diol moiety is protected as an acetonide were also synthesized (Scheme 6). Treatment of triols $16a$ and $16b$ with 2,2-dime-

Scheme 6. Synthesis of metathesis precursors, acetonides 19a and 19b: a) 2,2-Dimethoxypropane, CSA, 20 $^{\circ}$ C; b) PBu₃, *o*-nitrophenylselenocyanate, THF, 20°C ; c) H_2O_2 , THF, 20°C . CSA = camphor-10-sulfonic acid.

thoxypropane and CSA furnished the corresponding acetonides, then Grieco's method afforded the desired products 19a and 19b in good overall yields. Once again, no intermediate purification was necessary.

Besides the two precursors 18 and 19, which possess a five-membered protecting group for the C1,C2- diol, we decided to prepare two other types of precursors, in which the diol moiety was monoprotected or not protected at all, to test the influence of the conformation of the dienes on the ring-closing metathesis reaction. Simple treatment of dienes 18a and 18b with PhLi provided ring-opened products 20a and 20 b, respectively (Scheme 7).

Scheme 7. Synthesis of metathesis precursors 20 a and 20 b: a) PhLi, THF, -78 °C.

As shown in Scheme 8, direct transformation of triol 16a into the corresponding diene by Grieco's method led to the corresponding epoxide product 21 a in modest yield, along with decomposition products. However, use of ammonium molybdate tetrahydrate in H_2O_2 at $-10^{\circ}C$ for 5 min for the oxidation step afforded the desired dienes 22a and 22b in excellent yields.

Scheme 8. Synthesis of metathesis precursors $22a$ and $22b$: a) PBu_3 , o -nitrophenylselenocyanate, THF, 20° C; b) H₂O₂, THF, 20° C, 20% ; c) PBu₃, o-nitrophenylselenocyanate, THF, 20 $^{\circ}$ C; d) H₂O₂, (NH₄)₆Mo₇O₂₄⁻⁴H₂O, THF, -10 °C.

The ring-closing metathesis experiments were first performed with the carbonate substrates. Diastereomer 18a, which possesses the wrong stereochemistry for taxol at C1 and C2, did not furnish any cyclized product, either with Grubbs first- (Grubbs 1)^[14] or second-generation (Grubbs 2 ^[15] catalysts in 1,2-dichloroethane at reflux. Longer reaction time (several days) with the latter complex only resulted in decomposition. However, when the other diastereomer 18b was exposed to 30 mol% of Grubbs 1 catalyst, the corresponding cyclooctene 23b was obtained in 65% yield as the Z isomer exclusively after several days at ambient temperature (Table 1). No trans cyclooctene was detected, contrary to our previous study in which the metathesis closed the B ring between C9 and C10.^[4a] Use of second-generation

Table 1. Metathesis of carbonates 18b.^[a]

[a] Reaction conditions: a) catalyst, 1,2-dichloroethane. [b] The reaction was started with 10 mol% Grubbs 1, and 5 mol% additional catalyst was added every day.

Ring-Closing Metathesis **Ring-Closing Metathesis**

catalysts Grubbs 2 or $\text{[RuIMes]}^{[16]}$ also led to 23b in a comparable yield (69 and 72%, respectively), but the reaction was complete in only 1 h.

The acetonide derivatives were then subjected to Grubbs 2 catalyst. After 30 min in CH_2Cl_2 at reflux, diastereomer 19 a only led to dimeric products 24 and 25 in a 3:1 ratio, favoring the head-to-head dimer (Scheme 9). This result con-

Scheme 9. Metathesis of acetonides 19a and 19b: a) 5 mol% Grubbs 2, CH_2Cl_2 , reflux, 87% for the mixture of 24 and 25; b) 5 mol% Grubbs 2, 1,2-dichloroethane, reflux, quant.

firmed that the less-hindered C10 olefin is the site of initial carbene formation. A prolonged reaction time did not show a significant effect. Cyclization of the other diastereomer 19b was exceptionally rapid, and bicycle 26b was obtained in quantitative yield after only 5 min in 1,2-dichloroethane at reflux.

Our attempt at the ring-closing reaction with dienes 20 a and 20 b showed an interesting conformation/reactivity relationship. Treatment of benzoate 20 a (wrong diastereomer) with both Grubbs 1 and 2 catalysts provided the desired cyclized product (Table 2). These results tend to prove that the cyclic protecting groups lock the metathesis precursors in an unfavorable conformation for cyclization of the wrong diastereomer. Heating 20a with Grubbs 1 in 1,2-dichloro-

Table 2. Metathesis of monoprotected benzoates 20 a and 20 b.

ethane at reflux for 36 h or with Grubbs 2 in dichloromethane at reflux for 18 h furnished the same cyclooctene 27 a in 71 and 84% yield, respectively. No product was observed at a lower temperature. Diastereomer 20 b (the desired diastereomer), when reacted with Grubbs 1 and 2, also afforded the corresponding cyclooctene 27b, but the reaction conditions were milder. Bicycle 27 b was obtained in 78% yield by treatment with Grubbs 1 at 40° C and in quantitative yield by treatment with Grubbs 2 at ambient temperature after only 15 min (Table 2).

Finally, diols 22a and 22b were exposed to the different Grubbs catalysts. Unfortunately diol 22 a (the wrong diastereomer) only led to decomposition. However, diastereomer 22**b** (the desired diastereomer) was successfully converted into the corresponding cyclooctene 28 b as colorless needle crystals in quantitative yield after 1 h in dichloromethane at reflux (Scheme 10). The structure of this cyclooctene was confirmed by X-ray analysis.^[17] The decomposition or low reactivity^[18] or occasional loss of methylene^[19] in ring-closing metathesis reactions in the presence of a diol were not observed in our case.

Scheme 10. Metathesis of diol $22b$: a) 5 mol% Grubbs 2, CH₂Cl₂, reflux, quant.; b) LiAlH₄, THF, 0° C, 83%.

The 1 H NMR spectrum of cyclooctene 27b exhibits a broadening of signals for the protons at C4 and C10, which is probably due to the presence of rotamers induced by the benzoate group. The expected NMR spectrum could be observed by heating $27b$ at 65° C in deuterated DMSO. This compound was also correlated to cyclic diol 28b by reduction of the benzoate ester with $LiAlH₄$ (Scheme 10).

An unusual oxidative fragmentation of the 1,2-diol moiety was observed in our attempt to form bicycle 28b from unpurified diol 22 b. As shown in Scheme 11, the metathesis reaction on unpurified diol 22b led to the desired cyclized product 28 b in 71% yield, along with keto aldehyde 29 in

Chem. Eur. J. 2008, 14, 7314 – 7323 \odot 2008 Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, Weinheim <www.chemeurj.org> – 7317

Scheme 11. Oxidative cleavage of diol 22b catalyzed by Grubbs 2 catalyst: a) 5 mol% Grubbs 2, o -nitrophenylselenocyanate (residue), CH₂Cl₂, reflux, 71% for $28b$, 22% for 29 , respectively; b) 5 mol % Grubbs 2, benzeneseleninic acid, CH₂Cl₂, reflux, 67%.

22% yield. We assumed that this oxidative cleavage was mediated by a high oxidation state ruthenium complex derived from the Grubbs 2 catalyst and the residual o-nitrophenyl seleninic acid from the Grieco reaction, which is the only oxidant present in the reaction.^[20] To check this hypothesis, reaction of diol 28b with Grubbs 2 catalyst was then carried out in the presence of stoichiometric phenyl seleninic acid, and the oxidized product 29 was obtained in 67% yield (Scheme 11).[21]

Finally, molecular modeling has been performed to try to explain the difference in behavior between the two diastereomers of the metathesis precursors bearing a cycling protecting group for the diol moiety. Systematic energy minimization experiments[22] led to the preferred conformations of carbonates $18a$ and $18b$ (Figure 1). Comparison between the C10–C11 distances in both diastereomers clearly shows that cyclization should be favored in the case of 18b.

wrong diastereomer 18a taxol-like diastereomer 18b Figure 1. Conformations of carbonates 18a and 18b.

Conclusion

We have synthesized highly functionalized cyclooctenes by using a ring-closing metathesis reaction at C10–C11 to form the B ring. This key step was highly efficient for the diasteromer possessing the desired configuration for the C1 and C2 stereocenters of taxol, regardless of the diol protecting group. We even performed the RCM reaction on the unprotected diol in an excellent yield. On the other hand, the cyclic protecting groups, such as a carbonate or an acetonide, seem to lock the undesired diastereomer in a conformation which is unfavorable for cyclization, and only the monobenzoate 20 a is a good substrate for metathesis.

These results are in sharp contrast with those observed in our previous route involving a metathesis to close the B-ring between the C9 and the C10 carbon atom.[4] In this case, the cyclic protecting groups were mandatory for both diastereomers to obtain good yields in the RCM step.

We are currently synthesizing metathesis precursors with all the functionalities required for the ABC tricyclic core of taxol.

Experimental Section

Materials and methods: All solvents were reagent grade. Diethyl ether (Et₂O) and THF were freshly distilled from sodium/benzophenone under nitrogen. Dichloromethane, DMSO, toluene, and 1,2-dichloroethane were freshly distilled from calcium hydride. All reagents were purchased from commercial sources. Reactions were magnetically stirred and monitored by TLC with 0.25 mm precoated silica-gel plates. Flash chromatography was performed with silica gel (particle size 0.040–0.062 mm). Yields refer to chromatographically and spectroscopically pure compounds, unless otherwise noted. IR spectra were recorded on a FTIR instrument. ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra were recorded on a 400 MHz spectrometer. Chemical shifts are reported relative to either chloroform (δ = 7.26 ppm) or $[D_6]$ DMSO (δ = 2.50 ppm) for ¹H NMR spectra and chloroform $(\delta = 77.0 \text{ ppm})$ for ¹³C NMR spectra. Optical rotations were measured on a polarimeter. X-ray structures were obtained on a diffractometer.

3.3-Dimethyloct-1-en-4-one (10): 1-Bromo-3-methylbut-2-ene (6.35 g, 42.6 mmol, 1.20 equiv) was added to a solution of valeraldehyde (3.8 mL) . 36 mmol) in THF (20 mL). This was followed by the addition of aqueous ammonium chloride (sat. 100 mL). The resulting mixture was cooled to 0° C, and activated zinc dust (6.96 g, 106 mmol, 3.00 equiv) was slowly added. The mixture was then stirred vigorously at room temperature for 1 h (no inert atmosphere is necessary). After completion of the reaction, the mixture was filtered through a pad of Celite, the two phases were separated and the aqueous phase was extracted with diethyl ether. The combined organic fractions were washed with brine, dried over magnesium sulfate, filtered, and the solvent was removed at reduced pressure. A solution of IBX (22 g, 100 mmol, 1.2 equiv) in DMSO (150 mL) was added slowly at 20° C to a stirred solution of the crude product (13 g, 83 mmol) in THF (150 mL). The resulting mixture was stirred at 20° C overnight. When the reaction was complete, water was added and the organic phase was diluted with diethyl ether. The biphasic system was stirred for at least 3 h. The white salts were then filtered through a pad of Celite. The aqueous phase was extracted with diethyl ether and the combined organic fractions were washed with water and brine, dried over magnesium sulfate, filtered, and the solvent was removed at reduced pressure. Purification of the crude product by flash chromatography (diethyl ether/petroleum ether 5:95 then 10:90) afforded the desired product **10** (12.6 g, 98% over two steps) as a pale-yellow oil. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz): δ = 5.90 (dd, J = 17.5, 10.5 Hz, 1H), 5.15–5.11 (d, m, 2H), 2.44 $(t, J=7.2 \text{ Hz}, 2H)$, 1.53–1.46 (m, 2H), 1.29–1.21 (m, 2H), 1.21 (s, 6H), 0.88 ppm (t, J=7.2 Hz, 3H); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 100.6 MHz): δ =213.2, 142.7, 114.1, 65.8, 50.8, 37.1, 26.1, 23.5, 15.2, 13.9 ppm; IR (film): $\tilde{v} =$ 3087, 2961, 2933, 2873, 1711, 1636, 1466, 1413, 1379, 1364, 1260 cm⁻¹; MS (CI, NH₃): m/z : 172 $[M+NH₄]$ ⁺, 155 $[M+H]$ ⁺, 105.

2-(1,1-Dimethylallyl)-2-trimethylsiloxyhexanenitrile (11): A catalytic amount of zinc iodide was added to a solution of 10 (3.33 g, 21.6 mmol) in freshly distilled dichloromethane (70 mL). Then, TMSCN (4.32 mL, 32.4 mmol, 1.50 equiv) was added dropwise. The resulting mixture was refluxed for 2 h. The solvents and excess TMSCN were then evaporated at

Ring-Closing Metathesis **Ring-Closing Metathesis**

reduced pressure (with a NaOCl/NaOH trap). Purification of the crude product by flash chromatography (diethyl ether/petroleum ether 2:100 then 5:100) afforded the desired nitrile 11 (5.33 g, 97%) as a pale-yellow oil. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz): $\delta = 5.96$ (dd, $J = 17.3$, 11.0 Hz, 1H), 5.14–5.09 (m, 2H), 1.67–1.61 (m, 2H), 1.52–1.46 (m, 2H), 1.38–1.30 (m, 2H), 1.16 (s, 3H), 1.13 (s, 3H), 0.92 (t, J=7.3 Hz, 3H), 0.25 ppm (s, 9H); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 100.6 MHz): δ = 142.4, 120.4, 114.4, 79.7, 45.2, 36.5, 27.5, 22.7, 22.6, 22.4, 14.0, 1.73 ppm; IR (film): $\tilde{v} = 3087, 2961, 2875, 2361,$ 2342, 1639, 1468, 1417, 1381, 1365, 1254, 1127, 1112, 1066, 1005 cm⁻¹; MS (CI, NH₃): m/z : 271 $[M+NH_4]^+$, 227, 172, 91; elemental analysis calcd (%) for $C_{14}H_{27}NOSi$: C 66.34, H 10.74; found: C 66.31, H 10.87.

2-(1,1-Dimethylallyl)-2-trimethylsiloxyhexanal (9): The nitrile 11 (2.28 g, 8.99 mmol) was dissolved in diethyl ether (40 mL) and cooled to -78° C. DIBAL-H (22.1 mL, 1m in hexanes, 22.1 mmol, 2.50 equiv) was then added dropwise. The reaction mixture was warmed to 0° C and stirred for 2 h. The reaction was quenched by the addition of ethyl acetate, diluted with diethyl ether, and allowed to warm to 20° C. Silica (40 g) was added to the solution, which was then placed at -20° C overnight. After completion of the reaction, the mixture was warmed to 20° C and the silica was filtered off. Solvents were evaporated at reduced pressure. Purification of the crude product by flash chromatography (diethyl ether/petroleum ether 1:99) afforded the desired product 9 (1.96 g, 85%) as a colorless oil. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz): $\delta = 9.58$ (s, 1H), 6.01 (dd, J=17.5, 10.9 Hz, 1H), 5.07–4.99 (m, 2H), 1.83–1.76 (m, 1H), 1.65–1.57 (m, 2H), 1.29–1.23 (m, 3H), 1.04 (s, 3H), 1.03 (s, 3H), 0.87 (t, J=7.2 Hz, 3H), 0.16 ppm (s, 9H); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 100.6 MHz): δ = 204.8, 144.0, 113.2, 87.7, 44.3, 31.9, 26.3, 23.2, 22.8, 22.4, 14.0, 2.9 ppm; IR (film): $\tilde{v} = 3086$, 2960, 2874, 1735, 1638, 1468, 1416, 1380, 1364, 1254 cm⁻¹; MS (CI, NH₃): m/z : 274 $[M+NH_4]^+$, 257 $[M+H]^+$, 91; elemental analysis calcd (%) for $C_{14}H_{28}O_2Si$: C 65.57, H 11.00; found: C 65.38, H 10.99.

(2S)-2-Methyl-2-(3-trityloxypropyl)cyclohexanone (14): 4-Dimethylamino-N-triphenylmethyl pyridinium chloride (11.3 g, 27.9 mmol, 1.20 equiv) was added at 20° C to a solution of diol 13 (4.0 g, 23 mmol) in dichloromethane (60 mL). The resulting mixture was refluxed overnight. After cooling, diethyl ether (30 mL) was added, and the white salts were filtered off. The solution was dried over magnesium sulfate, filtered, and the solvent was evaporated at reduced pressure. A solution of IBX (7.79 g, 27.9 mmol, 1.20 equiv) in DMSO (15 mL) was added slowly at 20 $^{\circ}$ C to a stirred solution of the crude product in THF (15 mL). The resulting mixture was stirred at 20° C overnight. When the reaction had gone to completion, water was added and the organic phase was diluted with diethyl ether. The biphasic system was stirred for 3 h. The white salts were then filtered on a pad of Celite. The phases were separated and the aqueous phase was extracted with diethyl ether. The combined organic fractions were washed with water then brine, dried over magnesium sulfate, filtered, and the solvent was removed at reduced pressure. Purification of the crude product by flash chromatography (diethyl ether/ petroleum ether 50:50) afforded the desired product 14 (7.38 g, 77% over two steps) as a white solid. M.p. 117–118 °C; $[\alpha]_D^{20} = -32.0$ ($c = 2.76$) in CH₂Cl₂); ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz): δ = 7.45–7.42 (m, 6H), 7.32– 7.28 (m, 6H), 7.25–7.21 (m, 3H), 3.07–3.03 (m, 2H), 2.44–2.28 (m, 2H), 1.92–1.86 (m, 1H), 1.80–1.73 (m, 4H), 1.71–1.53 (m, 3H), 1.46–1.31 (m, 2H), 1.05 ppm (s, 3H); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 100.6 MHz): δ = 216.1, 144.3, 128.7, 127.7, 126.8, 86.4, 64.0, 48.4, 39.3, 38.8, 33.9, 27.5, 24.4, 22.6, 21.1 ppm; IR (CaF₂, CCl₄, 1 mg mL⁻¹): $\tilde{v} = 3087, 3061, 3034, 2935, 2867,$ 2360, 1708, 1491, 1449, 1378, 1314 cm⁻¹; MS (CI, NH₃): m/z : 243 (Ph₃C⁺); elemental analysis calcd (%) for $C_{29}H_{32}O_2$: C 84.43, H 7.82; found: C 84.21, H 8.08.

N-[(S)-2-Methyl-2-(3-trityloxypropyl)cyclohex-1-ylidene]-N'-(2,4,6-triisopropylbenzenesulfono)hydrazone (12): Two drops of concentrated hydrochloric acid were added to a solution of ketone 14 (1.00 g, 2.42 mmol) and triisopropylbenzenesulfonyl hydrazine (723 mg, 2.42 mmol, 1.00 equiv) in THF (5.0 mL). The resulting solution was stirred at 20° C for 1 h, and the reaction was quenched by the addition of saturated aqueous sodium hydrogen carbonate. The phases were separated and then the aqueous phase was extracted with diethyl ether. The combined organic fractions were washed with brine, dried over magnesium sulfate, filtered, and the solvent was removed at reduced pressure. Purification of the crude product by flash chromatography (diethyl ether/petroleum ether 20:80) yielded the desired pure hydrazone 12 as white crystals (1.62 g, 96%). M.p. 75–77 °C; $\left[\alpha\right]_D^{20} = -59.6$ $\left(c = 1.67 \text{ in } CH_2Cl_2\right);$ ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz): $\delta = 7.42 - 7.39$ (m, 7H), 7.31-7.22 (m, 9H), 7.11 (s, 2H), 4.19 (septet, J=6.7 Hz, 2H), 2.88 (t, J=6.2 Hz, 2H), 2.81 (septet, $J=6.9$ Hz, 1H), 2.38 (dt, $J=14.5$, 4.6 Hz, 1H), 1.93 (ddd, $J=14.6$, 11.2, 5.1 Hz, 1H), 1.78–1.69 (m, 1H), 1.68–1.49 (m, 4H), 1.48–1.36 (m, 2H), 1.24 (dd, J=10.4, 6.7 Hz, 12H), 1.17 (dd, J=6.9, 2.8 Hz, 6H), 0.90 ppm (s, 3H); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 100.6 MHz): δ = 163.0, 152.9, 151.0, 146.8, 144.3, 131.3, 128.6, 127.6, 126.8, 123.3, 86.2, 63.9, 41.7, 39.2, 34.3, 34.0, 29.8, 25.8, 24.8, 24.2, 24.0, 23.5, 23.5, 22.8, 20.8, 14.0 ppm; IR (film): $\tilde{v} =$ 3243, 2957, 2869, 1600, 1563, 1496, 1454, 1384, 1323, 1152, 1108, 911, 733 cm⁻¹; MS (CI, NH₃): *m*/z: 539 [M+H]⁺, 449, 300, 276, 259, 151, 108, 91.

(1R,2R)-2-(1,1-Dimethylallyl)-1-[(6S)-6-methyl-6-(3-trityloxypropyl)cyclohex-1-enyl]hexane-1,2-diol (15 a) and (1S,2S)-2-(1,1-dimethylallyl)-1- [(6S)-6-methyl-6-(3-trityloxypropyl)cyclohex-1-enyl]hexane-1,2-diol

(15 b): tert-Butyllithium (2.48 mL, 4.51 mmol, 2.20 equiv, titrated 1.82m) was added dropwise to a solution of hydrazone 13 (1.42 g, 2.05 mmol, 1.00 equiv) in THF (10 mL) at -78° C. The solution turned red and was stirred at -78° C for 30 min until the color turned dark red, then the temperature was allowed to warm to 0° C. When nitrogen evolution was finished and the color had turned to pale red, the solution was cooled again to -78° C. A cooled solution of aldehyde 5 (526 mg, 2.05 mmol) in THF (5.0 mL) was added dropwise by cannula. The resulting mixture became light yellow and was stirred at -78° C for 30 min. The reaction was quenched by addition of saturated aqueous sodium hydrogen carbonate. The phases were separated and the aqueous phase was extracted with diethyl ether. The combined organic fractions were washed with brine, dried over magnesium sulfate, filtered, and the solvent was removed at reduced pressure. Aqueous HCl (1n, 3.08 mL, 3.08 mmol, 1.50 equiv) was added at 0° C to a solution of the crude product in THF (20 mL). The resulting mixture was allowed to warm to 20° C over 1 h and stirred overnight. The reaction was quenched by addition of saturated aqueous sodium hydrogen carbonate. The phases were separated and the aqueous phase was extracted with diethyl ether. The combined organic fractions were washed with brine, dried over magnesium sulfate, filtered, and the solvent was removed at reduced pressure. Purification of the crude product by flash chromatography (diethyl ether/petroleum ether 10:90) allowed separation of the two diastereomers to afford the desired diols diasteromer 15a (364 mg, 43%) as a pale-yellow oil and diasteromer 15b (313 mg, 37%) as a white solid (80% global yield over two steps).

Product 15 a: $[\alpha]_D^{20} = +9.48$ $(c=1.12$ in $CH_2Cl_2)$; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz): δ = 7.47–7.43 (m, 6H), 7.33–7.23 (m, 6H), 7.23–7.15 (m, 3H), 6.24–6.16 (m, 2H), 5.06–5.00 (m, 2H), 4.17 (d, $J=4.4$ Hz, 1H), 3.18 (s, 1H), 3.11–3.00 (m, 2H), 2.05–1.99 (m, 2H), 1.86 (d, J=4.4 Hz, 1H), 1.69–1.47 (m, 6H), 1.47–1.31 (m, 6H), 1.31–1.11 (m, 2H), 1.07, 1.06 (2 s, 6H), 0.97 (s, 3H), 0.87 ppm (t, J=7.2 Hz, 3H); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 100.6 MHz): d=147.1, 146.9, 144.4, 129.0, 128.7, 128.2, 127.7, 126.8, 112.1, 86.4, 78.1, 69.5, 64.4, 47.0, 37.1, 36.4, 35.1, 33.3, 27.0, 25.9, 25.7, 24.5, 23.7, 22.9, 22.8, 18.8, 14.1 ppm; IR (CaF₂, CCl₄, 1 mgmL⁻¹): $\tilde{v} = 3610$, 3534, 2959, 2931, 2871, 2289, 2002, 1854, 1549, 1449, 1380, 1252, 1217, 1067 cm^{-1} ; MS (CI, NH₃): m/z : 243 [Ph₃C]⁺.

Product 15b: M.p. 102–105°C; $[\alpha]_D^{20} = +9.42$ $(c=1.00$ in CH₂Cl₂);
¹H NMP (CDCL 400 MHz): $\lambda = 7.53$ 7.48 (m 6H) 7.38 7.32 (m 6H) ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz): δ = 7.53–7.48 (m, 6H), 7.38–7.32 (m, 6H), 7.32–7.26 (m, 3H), 6.31 (t, J=4.0 Hz, 1H), 6.25 (dd, J=17.6, 10.8 Hz, 1H), 5.08 (d, $J=17.6$ Hz, 1H), 5.03 (d, $J=10.8$ Hz, 1H), 4.28 (d, $J=$ 4.4 Hz, 1H), 3.19 (s, 1H), 3.14–3.09 (m, 2H), 2.12–2.05 (m, 2H), 1.89 (d, $J=4.4$ Hz, 1H), 1.70-1.52 (m, 8H), 1.52-1.40 (m, 3H), 1.35-1.14 (m, 3H), 1.15–1.10 (m, 9H), 0.89 ppm (t, $J=7.6$ Hz, 3H); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 100.6 MHz): d=147.9, 147.1, 144.4, 128.6, 127.7, 127.0, 126.8, 112.1, 86.2, 78.1, 70.0, 64.0, 46.9, 36.7, 36.2, 35.0, 33.4, 27.0, 25.7, 25.1, 24.4, 23.6, 22.9, 22.8, 18.6, 14.1 ppm; IR (CaF₂, CCl₄, 1 mgmL⁻¹): $\tilde{v} = 3610$, 3537, 3061, 2959, 2930, 2871, 2291, 2004, 1856, 1550, 1449, 1379, 1252, 1217, 1067 cm^{-1} ; MS (CI, NH₃): m/z : 243 [Ph₃C]⁺.

(1R,2R)-2-(1,1-Dimethylallyl)-1-[(S)-6-(3-hydroxypropyl)-6-methylcyclohex-1-enyl]hexane-1,2-diol (16 a) and (1S,2S)-2-(1,1-dimethylallyl)-1-[(S)- 6-(3-hydroxypropyl)-6-methylcyclohex-1-enyl]hexane-1,2-diol (16 b): Diol

A EUROPEAN JOURNAL

15a (251 mg, 0.43 mmol) was dissolved in MeOH (5 mL) at 20° C. Amberlyst H-15 (40 mg) was then added, and the mixture was stirred overnight at 20°C. The resin was filtered off and the solvents were removed in vacuo. Purification of the crude product by flash chromatography (diethyl ether/petroleum ether 20:80 then 50:50 then 80:20) afforded the desired alcohol 16a (128 mg, 88%) as a white solid. The same procedure repeated with 223 mg of diol 15b afforded the desired alcohol 16b (104 mg, 80%) as a yellow oil.

Product **16a**: M.p. 47–49 °C; $[\alpha]_D^{20} = +1.03$ ($c = 1.56$ in CH₂Cl₂); ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz): δ = 6.27 (t, J = 4.0 Hz, 1H), 6.18 (dd, J = 17.6, 10.9 Hz, 1H), 5.06 (m, 2H), 4.18 (s, 1H), 3.70–3.65 (m, 1H), 3.58–3.53 (m, 1H), 3.01 (br s, 1H), 2.50 (br s, 1H), 2.12 (br s, 1H), 2.02–1.98 (m, 2H), 1.66– 1.48 (m, 6H), 1.46–1.40 (m, 2H), 1.38–1.31 (m, 4H), 1.27–1.12 (m, 2H), 1.08 (s, 3H), 1.07 (s, 3H), 0.98 (s, 3H), 0.85 ppm (t, J=7.3 Hz, 3H); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 100.6 MHz): δ = 146.9, 145.9, 128.0, 112.2, 78.2, 69.3, 63.1, 47.0, 37.4, 35.9, 35.2, 33.3, 27.1, 27.0, 26.7, 25.8, 23.6, 23.1, 22.8, 18.9, 14.1 ppm; IR (CaF₂, CCl₄, 1 mgmL⁻¹): $\tilde{v} = 3380, 2955, 2870, 1460, 1377,$ 785, 705, 603 cm⁻¹; HRMS: m/z : calcd for C₂₁H₃₈O₃: 338.2821; found: 338.2832.

Product 16b: $[\alpha]_D^{20} = +0.35$ $(c=1.15$ in $CH_2Cl_2)$; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz): $\delta = 6.24 - 6.17$ (m, 2H), 5.08-5.03 (m, 2H), 4.20 (s, $J = 4.5$ Hz, 1H), 3.60 (t, $J=6.7$ Hz, 2H), 3.11 (s, 1H), 2.03–1.99 (m, 2H), 1.85 (d, $J=$ 4.7 Hz, 1H), 1.63–1.49 (m, 6H), 1.47–1.30 (m, 6H), 1.28–1.12 (m, 2H), 1.08–1.07 (m, 9H), 0.85 ppm (t, $J=7.3$ Hz, 3H); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 100.6 MHz): d=147.6, 147.1, 127.2, 112.1, 78.2, 70.2, 63.7, 46.9, 36.6, 35.3, 35.1, 33.5, 27.2, 27.0, 25.7, 25.2, 23.6, 22.9, 22.8, 18.6, 14.1 ppm; IR (film): $\tilde{v} = 3412, 2954, 2867, 1461, 1377, 1055, 1011, 842, 737, 686, 625 \text{ cm}^{-1};$ HRMS: m/z : calcd for C₂₁H₃₈O₃: 338.2821; found: 338.2834.

(4R,5R)-5-[(6S)-6-Allyl-6-methylcyclohex-1-enyl]-4-butyl-4-(1,1-dimethylallyl)[1,3]dioxolan-2-one (18 a) and (4S,5S)-5-[(6S)-6-allyl-6-methylcyclohex-1-enyl]-4-butyl-4-(1,1-dimethylallyl)[1,3]dioxolan-2-one (18b): o-Nitrophenylselenocyanate (55 mg, 0.24 mmol, 2.4 equiv) was added in one portion at 20 $\rm{°C}$ to a solution of alcohol 16a (34 mg, 0.10 mmol) in THF (1.0 mL) . Tributylphosphine $(60 \text{ uL}, 0.24 \text{ mmol}, 2.4 \text{ equiv})$ was then added dropwise. The resulting mixture was stirred at $20\textdegree C$ for 20 min. Completion of the reaction was checked by TLC. Water was then added, and the solution was diluted with diethyl ether. The phases were separated and the aqueous phase was extracted with diethyl ether. The combined organic fractions were washed with brine, dried over magnesium sulfate, filtered, and the solvent was removed at reduced pressure. The crude product was used for the next step without purification. Carbonyl diimidazole (81 mg, 0.50 mmol, 5.0 equiv) was added at 20° C to a solution of the crude product in toluene (5 mL). The resulting mixture was refluxed for 3 d. After cooling, the reaction was quenched by addition of saturated aqueous sodium hydrogen carbonate. The phases were separated and the aqueous phase was extracted with diethyl ether. The combined organic fractions were washed with brine, dried over magnesium sulfate, filtered, and the solvent was removed at reduced pressure. The crude product was then dissolved in THF (1.0 mL). Aqueous hydrogen peroxide (1.5 mL, 10% wt solution in water) was added dropwise at 0 $^{\circ}$ C. The mixture was stirred overnight. After this time, water was added and the solution was diluted with diethyl ether. The phases were separated and the aqueous phase was extracted with diethyl ether. The combined organic fractions were washed with brine, dried over magnesium sulfate, filtered, and the solvent was removed at reduced pressure. Purification of the crude product by flash chromatography (diethyl ether/petroleum ether 10:90) afforded the desired diene 18a (32 mg, 57% over three steps) as a pale-yellow oil. The same procedure repeated with 30 mg of alcohol 16b afforded the desired diene 18b $(16 \text{ mg}, 52\%$ over three steps) as a pale-yellow oil.

Product 18 a: $[\alpha]_D^{20} = +23.5$ $(c=0.92$ in CH_2Cl_2 ; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz): $\delta = 5.95$ (dd, $J=17.6$, 10.6 Hz, 1H), 5.85 (t, $J=4.1$ Hz, 1H), 5.75 (ddt, J=17.6, 10.6, 7.6 Hz, 1H), 5.22 (d, J=10.5 Hz, 1H), 5.21 (d, J=17.4 Hz, 1H), 5.10 (d, J=7.6 Hz, 1H), 5.06 (d, J=17.6 Hz, 1H), 4.98 (s, 1H), 2.32–2.26 (m, 1H), 2.19–2.02 (m, 3H), 1.85–1.50 (m, 4H), 1.50– 1.19 (m, 6H), 1.15 (s, 3H), 1.14 (s, 3H), 0.98 (s, 3H), 0.87 ppm (t, J= 7.6 Hz, 3H); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 100.6 MHz): δ = 155.7, 141.9, 139.3, 133.9, 131.2, 118.1, 115.7, 90.7, 79.0, 46.6, 44.9, 36.9, 35.7, 31.0, 27.0, 26.2, 25.6, 23.2, 22.3, 20.8, 18.2, 13.8 ppm; IR (film): $\tilde{v} = 3575$, 3076, 2960, 2368, 1984, 1790, 1638, 1538, 1456, 1417, 1380, 1326, 1198, 1042 cm⁻¹; MS (CI, NH₃): *m*/z: 364 [M+NH₄]⁺, 347 [M+H]⁺, 303, 285; HRMS: *m*/z: calcd for C₂₂H₃₄O₃: 346.2508; found: 346.2524.

Product 18b: $[\alpha]_D^{20} = -19.3$ $(c=1.01 \text{ in } CH_2Cl_2)$; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz): $\delta = 5.95$ (dd, $J=17.6$, 11.2 Hz, 1H), 5.79 (t, $J=4.1$ Hz, 1H), 5.73 (ddt, J=17.2, 10.4, 7.1 Hz, 1H), 5.23 (d, J=11.2 Hz, 1H), 5.21 (d, $J=17.6$ Hz, 1H), 5.06 (dd, $J=17.0$, 10.6 Hz, 2H), 5.02 (s, 1H), 2.14–2.00 (m, 4H), 1.89–1.80 (m, 1H), 1.74–1.55 (m, 4H), 1.50–1.18 (m, 5H), 1.16 $(s, 3H), 1.14$ $(s, 3H), 1.07$ $(s, 3H), 0.88$ ppm $(t, J=7.6 \text{ Hz}, 3H);$ ¹³C NMR $(CDCl_2, 100.6 MHz)$: $\delta = 155.5, 141.9, 140.6, 133.8, 130.5, 118.1, 115.8$ 90.6, 79.7, 46.5, 43.6, 36.9, 35.0, 31.1, 27.0, 25.7, 25.0, 23.2, 22.4, 20.8, 18.0, 13.8 ppm; IR (film): $\tilde{v} = 3568$, 3077, 2956, 2254, 1790, 1638, 1539, 1456, 1417, 1379, 1326, 1200, 1117, 1044 cm⁻¹; MS (CI, NH₃): m/z : 364 $[M+NH₄]⁺$, 347 $[M+H]⁺$, 285; elemental analysis calcd (%) for $C_{22}H_{34}O_3$: C 76.26, H 9.89; found: C 76.01, H 9.98.

(4R,5R)-5-[(S)-6-Allyl-6-methylcyclohex-1-enyl]-4-butyl-4-(1,1-dimethylallyl)-2,2-dimethyl[1,3]dioxolane (19 a) and (4S,5S)-5-[(S)-6-allyl-6-methylcyclohex-1-enyl]-4-butyl-4-(1,1-dimethylallyl)-2,2-dimethyl-

[1,3]dioxolane (19b): 2,2-Dimethoxypropane (5 mL) followed by a catalytic amount of CSA was added to triol $16a$ (38 mg, 0.111 mmol) at 20 \degree C, and the resulting mixture was stirred overnight. After this time, the reaction was quenched by the addition of saturated aqueous sodium hydrogen carbonate, the phases were separated, and the aqueous phase was extracted with diethyl ether. The combined organic fractions were washed with brine, dried over magnesium sulfate, filtered, and the solvent was removed at reduced pressure. The crude product was used for the next step without purification. o-Nitrophenylselenocyanate (58 mg, 0.254 mmol, 2.4 equiv) was added in one portion at 20° C to a solution of the crude product (40 mg, 0.106 mmol) in THF (1.0 mL). Tributylphosphine (64 μ L, 0.254 mmol, 2.4 equiv) was then added dropwise. The resulting mixture was stirred at 20°C for 20 min. Completion of the reaction was checked by TLC. Water was then added, and the solution was diluted with diethyl ether. The phases were separated and the aqueous phase was extracted with diethyl ether. The combined organic fractions were washed with brine, dried over magnesium sulfate, filtered, and the solvent was removed at reduced pressure. The crude product thus obtained was then dissolved in THF (1.0 mL) and aqueous hydrogen peroxide (0.5 mL, 35% wt solution in water) was added dropwise at 0° C. The mixture was stirred overnight. After this time, water was added and the solution was diluted with diethyl ether. The phases were separated and the aqueous phase was extracted with diethyl ether. The combined organic fractions were washed with brine, dried over magnesium sulfate, filtered, and the solvent was removed at reduced pressure. Purification of the crude product by flash chromatography (diethyl ether/petroleum ether 10:90) afforded the desired diene 19 a (25 mg, 63% over three steps) as a colorless oil. The same procedure was repeated with 30 mg of alcohol 16b to afford the desired diene $19b$ (23 mg, 71% over three steps) as a colorless oil.

Product 19 a: $[\alpha]_D^{20} = -21.4$ $(c=1.5 \text{ in } CH_2Cl_2)$; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz): $\delta = 6.20$ (dd, $J = 17.6$, 10.9 Hz, 1H), 6.03–5.98 (m, 1H), 5.89– 5.77 (m, 1H), 5.01–4.91 (m, 4H), 4.44 (s, 1H), 2.27 (d, J=7.0 Hz, 2H), 2.14–1.99 (m, 2H), 1.82–1.79 (m, 2H), 1.62–1.58 (m, 4H), 1.46 (s, 3H), 1.36 (s, 3H), 1.33–1.16 (m, 4H), 1.12–1.05 (m, 9H), 0.90 ppm (t, J= 7.3 Hz, 3H); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 100.6 MHz): δ = 146.9, 139.2, 136.2, 129.1, 116.5, 110.6, 104.3, 88.3, 77.1, 44.8, 43.3, 37.9, 35.9, 33.5, 28.0, 27.9, 26.3, 26.2, 26.1, 25.4, 24.0, 23.4, 17.9, 14.3 ppm; IR (film): $\tilde{v} = 3076$, 2959, 2933, 2872, 1636, 1461, 1372, 1247, 1212, 1016, 910, 862, 793, 764, 626, 486 cm⁻¹; HRMS: m/z : calcd for C₂₄H₄₀O₂: 360.3028; found: 360.3028.

Product 19b: $[\alpha]_D^{20} = -1.23$ $(c=1.3 \text{ in } CH_2Cl_2)$; ¹HNMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz): $\delta = 6.19$ (dd, J = 17.7, 10.9 Hz, 1H), 5.92 (t, J = 3.8 Hz, 1H), 5.82–5.72 (m, 1H), 5.05–4.92 (m, 4H), 4.44 (s, 1H), 2.32 (dd, J=13.9, 7.2 Hz, 1H), 2.19 (dd, J=13.9, 7.2 Hz, 1H), 2.10–2.05 (m, 2H), 1.82–1.76 (m, 2H), 1.65–1.53 (m, 4H), 1.46 (s, 3H), 1.37 (s, 3H), 1.32–1.22 (m, 4H), 1.09–1.08 (m, 9H), 0.89 ppm (t, $J=7.3$ Hz, 3H); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 100.6 MHz): d=146.9, 139.2, 135.5, 128.4, 116.9, 110.7, 104.3, 88.3, 77.7, 44.0, 43.4, 37.8, 35.6, 33.5, 27.9, 27.8, 26.5, 26.3, 26.0, 25.5, 23.9, 23.5, 17.8, 14.3 ppm; IR (film): $\tilde{v} = 2959$, 2931, 2869, 1636, 1459, 1373, 1253, 1017,

Ring-Closing Metathesis **Ring-Closing Metathesis**

857, 802, 713, 695, 648, 632, 524, 462 cm⁻¹; HRMS: m/z : calcd for $C_{24}H_{40}O_2$: 360.3028; found: 360.3032.

(1R,2R)-1-[(S)-6-Allyl-6-methylcyclohex-1-enyl]-2-(1,1-dimethylallyl)-2 hydroxyhexylbenzoate (20 a) and (1S,2S)-1-[(S)-6-allyl-6-methylcyclohex-1-enyl]-2-(1,1-dimethylallyl)-2-hydroxyhexylbenzoate (20 b): A solution of phenyllithium in ether (0.3m, 1.5 mL, 2.1 equiv) was added dropwise to a solution of crude diene 18 a, synthesized from 16 a (49 mg), in THF (5 mL) at -78 °C. After 10 min, the solution was poured into a saturated aqueous solution of sodium hydrogen carbonate, the phases were separated, and then the aqueous phase was extracted with diethyl ether. The combined organic fractions were washed with brine, dried over magnesium sulfate, filtered, and the solvent was removed at reduced pressure. Purification of the crude product by flash chromatography (diethyl ether/ petroleum ether 5:95) afforded diene 20 a (30 mg, 46% over four steps from diol 16 a) as a colorless oil. The same procedure was repeated with 41 mg of triol $16b$ to afford diene $20b$ (19.5 mg, 36% over four steps from 16 b) as a colorless oil.

Product 20 a: $[\alpha]_D^{20} = +1.6$ ($c = 1.30$ in CH₂Cl₂); ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz): d=7.97–7.95 (m, 2H), 7.57–7.53 (m, 1H), 7.45–7.42 (m, 2H), 6.43 (t, J=4.0 Hz, 1H), 5.96 (dd, J=17.6, 10.9 Hz, 1H), 5.77 (s, 1H), 5.71–5.61 (m, 1H), 4.98–4.92 (m, 3H), 4.77 (dd, J=10.9, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 2.59 (s, 1H), 2.40 (dd, $J=14.0$, 7.5 Hz, 1H), 2.12–2.07 (m, 3H), 1.68–1.58 (m, 3H), 1.55–1.39 (m, 2H), 1.33–1.18 (m, 5H), 1.11 (s, 3H), 1.09 (s, 3H), 1.06 (s, 3H), 0.90 (t, J=7.3 Hz, 3H); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 100.6 MHz): δ = 164.7, 145.1, 142.9, 135.1, 132.8, 131.0, 130.5, 129.6, 128.4, 117.1, 112.3, 79.3, 72.5, 46.6, 44.0, 36.9, 34.9, 33.3, 29.7, 26.9, 25.7, 25.5, 23.6, 23.2, 22.9, 18.0, 14.2 ppm; IR (film): $\tilde{v} = 3965$, 3807, 3711, 2928, 2280, 1793, 1710, 1576, 1484, 1427, 1316, 1262, 1078, 976, 944, 919, 857, 835, 817, 765, 685, 602, 571, 509 cm⁻¹; HRMS: m/z : calcd for C₂₈H₄₀O₃: 424.2978; found: 424.2985.

Product 20b: $[\alpha]_D^{20} = +5.5$ ($c = 1.30$ in CH₂Cl₂); ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz): $\delta = 7.98 - 7.96$ (m, 2H), 7.57–7.54 (m, 1H), 7.46–7.42 (m, 2H), 6.41 (t, $J=3.9$ Hz, 1H), 5.99 (dd, $J=17.6$, 10.9 Hz, 1H), 5.87–5.78 (m, 1H), 5.76 (s, 1H), 5.06–4.93 (m, 3H), 4.79 (dd, J=10.9, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 2.61 (s, 1H), 2.34 (dd, J=13.1, 7.0 Hz, 1H), 2.17–2.07 (m, 3H), 1.67–1.63 (m, 2H), 1.58–1.36 (m, 6H), 1.33–1.18 (m, 2H), 1.13 (s, 3H), 1.08 (s, 3H), 1.05 (s, 3H), 0.90 ppm (t, $J=7.3$ Hz, 3H); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 100.6 MHz): δ = 165.0, 145.3, 142.8, 134.9, 132.8, 131.0, 130.7, 129.6, 128.4, 117.5, 112.3, 79.4, 73.9, 46.5, 44.5, 36.8, 35.8, 33.7, 26.8, 25.8, 25.7, 23.6, 23.4, 23.0, 18.0, 14.1 ppm; IR (film): $\tilde{v} = 3681, 2931, 2275, 1714, 1636, 1454, 1316, 1265,$ 1108, 964, 937, 902, 864, 844, 725, 694, 524 cm⁻¹; HRMS: m/z : calcd for $C_{28}H_{40}O_3$: 424.2978; found: 424.2964.

(1S,2R)-1-[(S)-2-Allyl-2-methyl-7-oxabicyclo[4.1.0]hept-1-yl]-2-(1,1-dimethylallyl)hexane-1,2-diol (21 a): o-Nitrophenylselenocyanate (145 mg, 0.66 mmol, 2.40 equiv) was added in one portion at 20° C to a solution of alcohol 16a (90 mg, 0.28 mmol) in THF (5.0 mL). Tributylphosphine (159 mL, 0.66 mmol, 2.39 equiv) was then added dropwise. The resulting mixture was stirred at 20°C for 20 min. Completion of the reaction was checked by TLC. Water was added and the solution was diluted with diethyl ether. The phases were separated and the aqueous phase was extracted with diethyl ether. The combined organic fractions were washed with brine, dried over magnesium sulfate, filtered, and the solvent was removed at reduced pressure. The crude product thus obtained was dissolved in THF (1.0 mL) and aqueous hydrogen peroxide (0.5 mL, 35% wt solution in water) was added dropwise at 0° C. The mixture was then stirred overnight. After this time, water was added and the solution was diluted with diethyl ether. The phases were separated and the aqueous phase was extracted with diethyl ether. The combined organic fractions were washed with brine, dried over magnesium sulfate, filtered, and the solvent was removed at reduced pressure. Purification of the crude product by flash chromatography (diethyl ether/petroleum ether 10:90) afforded the epoxide diene 21 a (20 mg, 20%) as pale-yellow oil. $\left[\alpha\right]_D^{20} =$ -17.2 (c=1.40 in CH₂Cl₂); ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz): δ = 6.12 (dd, J = 17.4, 11.0 Hz, 1H), 5.88–5.78 (m, 1H), 5.09–5.01 (m, 4H), 4.05 (d, J= 5.3 Hz, 1H), 3.93 (t, $J=2.4$ Hz, 1H), 3.30 (d, $J=5.3$ Hz, 1H), 2.86 (s, 1H), 2.30 (dd, J=13.6, 7.5 Hz, 1H), 2.12 (dd, J=13.6, 7.5 Hz, 1H), 1.87– 1.83 (m, 2H), 1.60–1.48 (m, 2H), 1.47–1.37 (m, 4H), 1.36–1.17 (m, 4H), 1.09–1.05 (m, 6H), 1.03 (s, 3H), 0.92 ppm (t, J=7.3 Hz, 3H); 13C NMR

(CDCl₃, 100.6 MHz): δ = 146.2, 134.2, 117.9, 112.6, 76.6, 67.7, 66.7, 60.7, 47.1, 43.2, 36.6, 32.7, 32.0, 27.6, 23.9, 23.9, 23.2, 22.3, 21.9, 16.1, 14.1 ppm; IR (film): $\tilde{v} = 3494$, 2958, 2874, 1636, 1460, 1381, 1077, 1000, 907, 878, 826, 804, 771, 708, 632, 591 cm⁻¹; HRMS: m/z : calcd for C₂₁H₃₆O₃: 336.2665; found: 336.2665.

(1R,2R)-1-[(S)-6-Allyl-6-methylcyclohex-1-enyl]-2-(1,1-dimethylallyl)hexane-1,2-diol (22 a) and (1S,2S)-1-[(S)-6-allyl-6-methylcyclohex-1-enyl]-2- (1,1-dimethylallyl)hexane-1,2-diol (22 b): o-Nitrophenylselenocyanate (282 mg, 1.24 mmol, 2.40 equiv) was added in one portion at 20° C to a solution of alcohol $16a$ (175 mg, 0.52 mmol) in THF (1.0 mL). Tributylphosphine $(49 \text{ nL} \cdot 0.2 \text{ mmol} \cdot 2.4 \text{ e}^{2}$ was then added dropwise. The resulting mixture was stirred at 20° C for 20 min. Completion of the reaction was checked by TLC. Water was then added and the solution was diluted with diethyl ether. The phases were separated and the aqueous phase was extracted with diethyl ether. The combined organic fractions were washed with brine, dried over magnesium sulfate, filtered, and the solvent was removed at reduced pressure. The crude product thus obtained was dissolved in THF (1.0 mL) and aqueous ammonium molybdate in solution (6m) of hydrogen peroxide (1.5 mL, 10% wt solution in water) was added dropwise at -10 °C. The mixture was then stirred for 5 min. Water was added and the solution was diluted with diethyl ether. The phases were separated and the aqueous phase was extracted with diethyl ether. The combined organic fractions were washed with brine, dried over magnesium sulfate, filtered, and the solvent was removed at reduced pressure. Purification of the crude product by flash chromatography (diethyl ether/petroleum ether 20:80) afforded the desired diene 22 a (152 mg, 92%) as a pale-yellow oil. The same procedure was repeated with 115 mg of alcohol $16b$ to afford the desired diene $22b(99 \text{ mg}, 91\%)$ as a pale-yellow oil.

Product 22 *a*: $[\alpha]_D^{20} = +3.3$ $(c=1.0 \text{ in } CH_2Cl_2)$; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz): $\delta = 6.26 - 6.17$ (m, 2H), 5.91 - 5.80 (m, 1H), 5.08 - 5.04 (m, 4H), 4.20 (d, J=3.4 Hz, 1H), 3.16 (s, 1H), 2.23 (dq, J=14.0, 7.4 Hz, 2H), 2.04–2.00 (m, 2H), 1.90 (d, J=3.8 Hz, 1H), 1.66–1.48 (m, 4H), 1.43–1.32 (m, 4H), 1.28–1.22 (m, 2H), 1.09 (s, 3H), 1.08 (s, 3H), 0.99 (s, 3H), 0.86 ppm (t, J=7.3 Hz, 3H); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 100.6 MHz): δ =147.1, 146.2, 135.4, 128.1, 117.3, 112.1, 78.1, 69.5, 47.0, 45.1, 37.4, 35.6, 33.3, 27.0, 26.1, 25.7, 23.7, 22.9, 22.8, 18.6, 14.1 ppm; IR (film): $\tilde{v} = 2929$, 2278, 1587, 1511, 1450, 1328, 1304, 1096, 1030, 924, 890, 850, 810, 772, 648, 587, 532 cm⁻¹; HRMS: m/z : calcd for C₂₁H₃₆O₂: 320.2715; found: 320.2716.

Product 22 *b*: $[\alpha]_D^{20} = +4.6$ ($c = 1.20$ in CH₂Cl₂); ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz): $\delta = 6.28 - 6.19$ (m, 2H), 5.85–5.74 (m, 1H), 5.10–5.01 (m, 4H), 4.22 (d, $J=4.3$ Hz, 1H), 3.09 (s, 1H), 2.09 (d, $J=7.4$ Hz, 2H), 2.02 (dt, J=6.2, 4.2 Hz, 2H), 1.83 (d, J=4.5 Hz, 1H), 1.66–1.60 (m, 1H), 1.57–1.49 (m, 3H), 1.45–1.33 (m, 4H), 1.33–1.12 (m, 2H), 1.10 (s, 3H), 1.09 (s, 3H), 1.07 (s, 3H), 0.86 ppm (t, J=7.3 Hz, 3H); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 100.6 MHz): δ = 147.1, 134.7, 127.5, 117.6, 112.2, 78.2, 70.1, 47.0, 44.0, 37.0, 35.2, 33.5, 27.0, 25.7, 25.2, 23.6, 22.9, 22.8, 18.4, 14.1 ppm; IR (film): $\tilde{v} = 2956$, 2867, 1716, 1638, 1587, 1508, 1328, 1300, 1095, 1026, 910, 859, 828, 782, 694, 642, 603, 517, 478 cm⁻¹; HRMS: m/z : calcd for C₂₁H₃₆O₂: 320.2715; found: 320.2728.

(Z)-(2R,6S,11S)-6-Butyl-7,7,11-trimethyl-3,5-dioxatricyclo[9.4.0.02]pentadeca-1(15),8-dien-4-one (23b): Diene 18b (10 mg, 30 μ mol) was dissolved in 1,2-dichloroethane (2.0 mL). The mixture was thoroughly degassed three times while stirring. Second-generation Grubbs catalyst (2.6 mg, 3.0 µmol, 10 mol%) was added and the mixture was refluxed for 1 h. After cooling, the solvent was evaporated at reduced pressure. Purification of the crude product by flash chromatography (diethyl ether/petroleum ether 10:90) afforded the desired product $23b$ (6.4 mg, 69%) as a pale-yellow oil. The same procedure repeated with [RuIMes] catalyst $(1.3 \text{ mg}, 1.5 \text{ \mu mol}, 5 \text{ mol\%})$ afforded compound 23b $(6.6 \text{ mg}, 72\%)$. $[\alpha]_D^{20}$ = +112.5 (c=1.17 in CH₂Cl₂); ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz): δ = 6.06 $(t, J=4.4 \text{ Hz}, 1 \text{ H}), 5.66 \text{ (ddd}, J=11.8, 10.6, 8.1 \text{ Hz}, 1 \text{ H}), 5.39 \text{ (s, 1 H)},$ 5.36 (d, $J=11.8$ Hz, 1H), 2.58 (dd, $J=14.2$, 10.6 Hz, 1H), 2.26 (dt, $J=$ 18.7, 5.8 Hz, 1H), 2.12–1.70 (m, 8H), 1.46 (s, 3H), 1.33 (s, 3H), 1.32–1.25 (m, 4H), 1.18 (s, 3H), 0.93 ppm (t, $J=7.2$ Hz, 3H); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 100.6 MHz): d=138.3, 134.9, 129.7, 128.5, 93.5, 80.1, 40.4, 39.0, 38.8, 34.1, 31.1, 28.8, 28.5, 27.7, 24.5, 23.3, 21.8, 18.0, 13.9 ppm; IR (film): $\tilde{v} = 3588$, 2961, 2870, 2345, 1803, 1654, 1560, 1458, 1380, 1248 cm⁻¹; MS (CI, NH₃):

GHEMISTRY

A EUROPEAN JOURNAL

m/z: 336 [M+NH₄]⁺, 319 [M+H]⁺, 258, 257; HRMS: m/z: calcd for $C_{20}H_{30}O_3$: 318.2195; found: 318.2206.

Mixture of 24 and 25: Diene 19 a (24 mg, 0.067 mmol) was dissolved in dichloromethane (7.0 mL). The mixture was thoroughly degassed three times while stirring. Second-generation Grubbs catalyst (2.8 mg, 3.3 mmol, 5 mol%) was added and the mixture was refluxed for 15 min. After cooling, the solvent was evaporated at reduced pressure. Purification of the crude product by flash chromatography (diethyl ether/petroleum ether 10:90) afforded the mixture of 24 (15 mg, E/Z 3:1) and 25 (5 mg, E/Z 3:1) (87% global yield) with a ratio of 3:1 as a pale-yellow oil.

Fractions **24**: ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz): δ = 6.37–6.12 (m, 2H), 6.04– 6.01 (m, 2H), 5.55–5.46 (m, 3H), 5.02–4.91 (m, 3H), 4.49 (s, 0.75H), 4.21 (s, 0.25H), 2.54–2.42 (m, 2H), 2.26–2.20 ppm (m, 2H).

Fractions **25**: ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz): δ = 6.24–6.16 (m, 2H), 6.01– 5.96 (m, 2H), 5.50–5.48 (m, 0.5H), 5.40–5.38 (m, 1.5H), 4.98–4.90 (m, 4H), 4.43 (s, 2H), 2.34–2.26 (m, 2H), 2.21–2.13 ppm (m, 2H).

(Z)-(2S,6S,11S)-6-Butyl-4,4,7,7,11-pentamethyl-3,5-dioxatricyclo[9.4.0.02] pentadeca-1(15),8-diene (26b): Diene 19b (20 mg, 56 μ mol) was dissolved in 1,2-dichloroethane (5.0 mL). The mixture was thoroughly degassed three times while stirring. Second-generation Grubbs catalyst $(2.4 \text{ mg} \cdot 2.8 \text{ umol} \cdot 5 \text{ mol})$ % was added and the mixture was refluxed for 5 min. After cooling, the solvent was evaporated at reduced pressure. Purification of the crude product by flash chromatography (diethyl ether/petroleum ether 10:90) afforded the desired bicycle $26b$ (19 mg, quantitative yield) as a pale-yellow oil. $[a]_0^{20} = +124.0$ $(c=1.3$ in CH₂Cl₂);
¹H NMP (CDCL 400 MHz): $\lambda = 6.04$ (m 1H) 5.55 5.47 (m 1H) 5.34 ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz): δ = 6.04 (m, 1H), 5.55–5.47 (m, 1H), 5.34 (d, $J=12.0$ Hz, 1H), 4.69 (s, 1H), 2.65 (dd, $J=13.7, 10.7$ Hz, 1H), 2.15 (dt, $J=18.3$, 5.0 Hz, 1H), 1.98–1.90 (m, 1H), 1.87–1.62 (m, 5H), 1.59– 1.51 (m, 2H), 1.47 (s, 3H), 1.38 (s, 3H), 1.35 (s, 3H), 1.30–1.22 (m, 4H), 1.17 (s, 3H), 1.12 (s, 3H), 0.86 ppm (t, $J=7.2$ Hz, 3H); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 100.6 MHz): d=141.2, 137.6, 127.7, 125.8, 104.5, 89.1, 75.5, 40.5, 38.9, 38.8, 34.4, 34.4, 29.7, 29.6, 28.8, 28.3, 26.5, 24.9, 24.0, 22.5, 18.4, 14.2 ppm; IR (film): $\tilde{v} = 2929, 2866, 2360, 1701, 1666, 1594, 1458, 1372, 1247, 1041,$ 897, 833, 738, 539 cm⁻¹; HRMS: m/z : calcd for C₂₂H₃₆O₂: 332.2715; found: 332.2714.

(Z)-(5R,6R,10 aS)-6-Butyl-6-hydroxy-7,7,10 a-trimethyl-1,2,3,5,6,7,10,10 aoctahydrobenzocycloocten-5-ylbenzoate (27a): Diene 20a (15 mg, 35 mmol) was dissolved in dichloromethane (2.0 mL). The mixture was thoroughly degassed three times while stirring. Second-generation Grubbs catalyst (1.5 mg, 1.8 µmol, 5 mol%) was added and the mixture was refluxed for 18 h. After cooling, the solvent was evaporated at reduced pressure. Purification of the crude product by flash chromatography (diethyl ether/petroleum ether 5:95) afforded the desired bicycle 27 a (13 mg, 84%) as a pale-yellow oil. The same procedure repeated with first-generation Grubbs catalyst (1.5 mg, 1.8 mmol, 5 mol%) in 1,2-dichloroethane (2.0 mL) refluxed for 36 h afforded compound 27a (9.0 mg, 71 %). $[\alpha]_D^{20}$ = +0.5 (c = 1.10 in CH₂Cl₂); ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz): δ = 8.10–8.08 (m, 2H), 7.62–7.58 (m, 1H), 7.51–7.47 (m, 2H), 5.95–5.91 (m, 1H), 5.83 (s, 1H), 5.80–5.72 (m, 1H), 5.42 (d, J=11.7 Hz, 1H), 3.26 (t, J=11.9 Hz, 1H), 2.20–2.12 (m, 1H), 2.05–1.94 (m, 1H), 1.86–1.78 (m, 2H), 1.72–1.67 (m, 2H), 1.61 (s, 3H), 1.54 (s, 3H), 1.29–1.20 (m, 7H), 0.99 (s, 3H), 0.84 ppm (t, $J=7.1$ Hz, 3H); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 100.6 MHz): δ = 166.0, 139.8, 138.0, 133.0, 132.8, 131.5, 130.4, 129.8, 128.6, 83.5, 80.2, 43.3, 41.3, 37.6, 36.7, 31.9, 30.9, 29.4, 25.5, 23.8, 23.4, 18.3, 14.1 ppm; IR (film): $\tilde{v} = 3544, 3435, 2926, 2866, 2360, 1722, 1453, 1264, 1099, 913, 861,$ 842, 778, 655, 563, 532 cm⁻¹; HRMS: m/z : calcd for C₂₆H₃₆O₃: 396.2665; found: 396.2656.

(Z)-(5S,6S,10 aS)-6-Butyl-6-hydroxy-7,7,10 a-trimethyl-1,2,3,5,6,7,10,10 aoctahydrobenzocycloocten-5-ylbenzoate (27b): Diene 20b (9.0 mg, 21 μ mol) was dissolved in dichloromethane (2.0 mL). The mixture was thoroughly degassed three times while stirring. Second-generation Grubbs catalyst (0.9 mg, 1.1 µmol, 5 mol%) was added and the mixture was stirred for 15 min at 20°C. The solvent was evaporated at reduced pressure. Purification of the crude product by flash chromatography (diethyl ether/petroleum ether 5:95) afforded the desired bicycle 27b (9.0 mg, quantitative yield) as a pale-yellow oil. The same procedure repeated with first-generation Grubbs catalyst (0.9 mg, 1.1 µmol, 5 mol%) in dichloromethane (2.0 mL) refluxed for 12 h afforded the compound **27b** (7.2 mg, 78%). $[a]_D^{20} = +42.3$ ($c = 1.30$ in CH₂Cl₂); ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz): $\delta = 8.04 - 8.02$ (m, 2H), 7.58–7.54 (m, 1H), 7.47–7.43 (m, 2H), 6.13 (s, 1H), 6.11–6.05 (m, 1H), 5.74–5.60 (m, 1H), 5.48–5.36 (m, 1H), 2.80–2.60 (br s, 1H), 2.12–2.02 (m, 3H), 1.94 (t, J=12.5 Hz, 1H), 1.76– 1.66 (m, 2H), 1.62–1.58 (m, 2H), 1.54 (s, 3H), 1.36–1.31 (m, 2H), 1.36– 1.26 (m, 4H), 1.23 (s, 3H), 1.18 (s, 3H), 0.95 ppm (t, $J=7.3$ Hz, 3H); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 100.6 MHz): δ = 166.1, 142.4, 139.7, 132.8, 130.6, 129.7, 129.1, 128.4, 81.3, 77.2, 38.4, 31.9, 30.3, 28.1, 28.1, 27.6, 25.9, 25.8, 23.9, 22.7, 18.5, 14.2 ppm; IR (film): $\tilde{v} = 3920, 3704, 3548, 2926, 2867, 2360,$ $1723, 1656, 1599, 1454, 1273, 1110, 1025, 873, 857, 840, 697, 518 \text{ cm}^{-1};$ HRMS: m/z : calcd for $C_{26}H_{36}O_3$: 396.2665; found: 396.2678.

(Z)-(5S,6S,10 aS)-6-Butyl-7,7,10 a-trimethyl-1,2,3,5,6,7,10,10 a-octahydrobenzocyclooctene-5,6-diol (28b): Diene 22b (6 mg, 19 µmol) was dissolved in dichloromethane (2.0 mL). The mixture was thoroughly degassed three times while stirring. Second-generation Grubbs catalyst (0.8 mg, 1.0 mmol, 5 mol%) was added and the mixture was refluxed for 1 h. The solvent was evaporated at reduced pressure. Purification of the crude product by flash chromatography (diethyl ether/petroleum ether 20:80) afforded the desired bicycle $28b$ (6 mg, quantitative yield) as paleyellow needles. Bicycle $27b$ (9.0 mg, 21 µmol) in THF (1.0 mL) was added dropwise to a suspension of $LiAlH₄$ (2.0 mg, 53 µmol, 2.3 equiv) in THF (5 mL) at 0°C , and the resulting mixture was stirred for 15 min at 0°C. Ethyl acetate was then added, and the reaction was quenched by addition of aqueous sodium and potassium tartrate solution (10%, 10 mL), and the mixture was stirred for 3 h. The phases were separated and the aqueous phase was extracted with diethyl ether. The combined organic fractions were washed with brine, dried over magnesium sulfate, filtered, and the solvent was removed at reduced pressure. Purification of the crude product by flash chromatography (diethyl ether/petroleum ether 20:80) afforded the same bicycle $28b$ (5.5 mg, 83%) as pale-yellow needles. M.p. 105–107°C; $\left[\alpha\right]_D^{20}$ = +28.5 (c = 1.0 in CH₂Cl₂); ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz): $\delta = 5.96$ (dd, $J = 4.8$, 2.8 Hz, 1H), 5.62–5.55 (m, 1H), 5.35 (d, $J=11.7$ Hz, 1H), 4.51 (s, 1H), 2.66–2.38 (brs, 1H), 2.36–1.92 (m, 4H), 1.84–1.48 (m, 4H), 1.34 (s, 3H), 1.29–1.24 (m, 6H), 1.18 (s, 3H), 1.15 (s, 3H), 0.91 ppm (t, J=7.3 Hz, 3H); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 100.6 MHz): δ = 145.8, 140.1, 128.8, 126.5, 81.4, 73.0, 42.3, 38.4, 31.4, 30.3, 29.7, 28.2, 27.7, 25.6, 23.9, 22.7, 22.3, 18.6, 14.2 ppm; IR (film): $\tilde{v} = 3791$, 3477, 2927, 2866, 1718, 1588, 1514, 1452, 1330, 1265, 914, 885, 810, 788, 718, 685, 572 cm⁻¹; HRMS: m/z : calcd for C₁₉H₃₂O₂: 292.2402; found: 292.2405.

(S)-6-[(Z)-4,4-Dimethyl-5-oxonon-2-enyl]-6-methylcyclohex-1-enecarbaldehyde (29): Benzeneseleninic acid (12 mg, 66 µmol, 2.0 equiv) was added to a solution of bicycle $28b$ (9.6 mg, 33 µmol) in dichloromethane (5 mL) followed by second-generation Grubbs catalyst (2.8 mg, 3.3 mmol, 10 mol%) at 20 \textdegree C, and the mixture was refluxed for 6 h. The solvent was evaporated at reduced pressure. Purification of the crude product by flash chromatography (diethyl ether/petroleum ether 10:90) afforded ketoaldehyde **29** (6.4 mg, 67%) as a colorless oil. $[a]_D^{20} = -7.5$ ($c = 0.4$ in CH₂Cl₂); ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz): δ = 9.32 (s, 1H), 6.81 (t, J = 3.9 Hz, 1H), 5.45 (dt, J=11.5, 2.1 Hz, 1H), 5.19–5.13 (m, 1H), 2.52 (ddd, J= 15.7, 5.2, 2.4 Hz, 1H), 2.46 (t, J=7.5 Hz, 2H), 2.37–2.27 (m, 2H), 2.09 (ddd, $J=15.7$, 8.8, 1.3 Hz, 1H), 1.67–1.58 (m, 4H), 1.34–1.25 (m, 4H), 1.23 (s, 3H), 1.22 (s, 3H), 1.17 (s, 3H), 0.90 ppm (t, $J=7.4$ Hz, 3H); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 100.6 MHz): δ = 214.3, 194.6, 155.1, 146.8, 135.8, 129.4, 128.0, 49.1, 37.6, 36.7, 35.8, 27.2, 26.5, 26.3, 25.9, 25.6, 22.5, 18.0, 14.1 ppm; IR (film): $\tilde{v} = 3532, 2930, 2869, 2360, 1688, 1516, 1368, 1333,$ 998, 954, 908, 829, 802, 788, 755, 664, 624, 542, 493 cm⁻¹; HRMS: m/z: calcd for $C_{19}H_{30}O_2$: 290.2246; found: 290.2244.

Acknowledgements

Financial support was provided by the CNRS (UMR 7652) and the Ecole Polytechnique. C.M. thanks the DRE of Ecole Polytechnique for a fellowship. S.S. thanks the Délégation Générale pour l'Armement (DGA) for a fellowship. We gratefully acknowledge Dr. J.-P. Férézou for fruitful discussions and molecular modeling.

- [1] N. H. Oberlies, D. J. Kroll, [J. Nat. Prod.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/np030498t) 2004, 67, 129-135.
- [2] For reviews on the chemistry of taxol, see: K. C. Nicolaou, W.-M. Dai, R. K. Guy, [Angew. Chem.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/ange.19941060105) 1994, 106, 38 – 69; [Angew. Chem. Int.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/anie.199400151) [Ed. Engl.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/anie.199400151) 1994, 33, 15 – 44; D. G. I. Kingston, [Chem. Commun.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1039/b100070p) 2001, [867 – 880](http://dx.doi.org/10.1039/b100070p). For the total syntheses, see: a) K. C. Nicolaou, Z. Yang, J. J. Liu, H. Ueno, P. G. Nantermet, R. K. Guy, C. F. Claiborne, J. Renaud, E. A. Couladouros, K. Paulvannan, E. J. Sorensen, [Nature](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/367630a0) 1994, 367, 630-634. K. C. Nicolaou, P. G. Nantermet, H. Ueno, R. K. Guy, E. A. Couladouros, E. J. Sorensen, [J. Am. Chem. Soc.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/ja00107a006) 1995, 117[, 624 – 633.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/ja00107a006) K. C. Nicolaou, J. J. Liu, Z. Yang, H. Ueno, E. J. Sorensen, C. F. Claiborne, R. K. Guy, C. K. Hwang, M. Nakada, P. G. Nantermet, [J. Am. Chem. Soc.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/ja00107a007) 1995, 117, 634-644. K. C. Nicolaou, Z. Yang, J. J. Liu, P. G. Nantermet, C. F. Claiborne, J. Renaud, R. K. Guy, K. Shibayama, [J. Am. Chem. Soc.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/ja00107a008) 1995, 117, 645-652. K. C. Nicolaou, H. Ueno, J. J. Liu, P. G. Nantermet, Z. Yang, J. Renaud, K. Paulvannan, R. Chadha, [J. Am. Chem. Soc.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/ja00107a009) 1995, 117, [653 – 659](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/ja00107a009); b) R. A. Holton, C. Somoza, H. B. Kim, F. Liang, R. J. Biediger, P. D. Boatman, M. Shindo, C. C. Smith, S. Kim, H. Nadizadeh, Y. Suzuki, C. Tao, P. Vu, S. Tang, P. Zhang, K. K. Murthi, L. N. Gentile, J. H. Liu, [J. Am. Chem. Soc.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/ja00083a066) 1994, 116, 1597 – 159; R. A. Holton, H. B. Kim, C. Somoza, F. Liang, R. J. Biediger, P. D. Boatman, M. Shindo, C. C. Smith, S. Kim, H. Nadizadeh, Y. Suzuki, C. Tao, P. Vu, S. Tang, P. Zhang, K. K. Murthi, L. N. Gentile, J. H. Liu, [J. Am. Chem. Soc.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/ja00083a067) 1994, 116, 1599 – 1600; c) J. J. Masters, J. T. Link, L. B. Snyder, W. B. Young, S. Danishefsky, [Angew. Chem.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/ange.19951071617) 1995, 107, 1886-1888; [Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/anie.199517231) 1995, 34, 1723-1726; d) P. A. Wender, N. F. Badham, S. P. Conway, P. E. Floreancig, T. E. Glass, C. Gränicher, J. B. Houze, J. Jänichen, D. Lee, D. G. Marquess, P. L. McGrane, W. Meng, T. P. Mucciaro, M. Mühlebach, M. G. Natchus, H. Paulsen, D. B. Rawlins, J. Satkofsky, A. J. Shuker, J. C. Sutton, R. E. Taylor, K. Tomooka, [J. Am. Chem. Soc.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/ja9635387) 1997, 119, [2755 – 2756](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/ja9635387); P. A. Wender, N. F. Badham, S. P. Conway, P. E. Floreancig, T. E. Glass, J. B. Houze, N. E. Krauss, D. Lee, D. G. Marquess, P. L. McGrane, W. Meng, M. G. Natchus, A. J. Shuker, J. C. Sutton, R. E. Taylor, [J. Am. Chem. Soc.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/ja963539z) 1997, 119, 2757 – 2758; e) T. Mukaiyama, I. Shiina, H. Iwadare, M. Saitoh, T. Nishimura, N. Ohkawa, H. Sakoh, H. Nishimura, Y.-I. Tani, M. Hasegawa, K. Yamada, K. Saito, [Chem. Eur. J.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1521-3765(19990104)5:1%3C121::AID-CHEM121%3E3.0.CO;2-O) 1999, 5, 121-161; f) K. Morihara, R. Hara, S. Kawahara, T. Nishimori, N. Nakamura, H. Kusama, I. Kuwajima, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1998, 120, 12980-12981.
- [3] For a recent review, see: K. C. Nicolaou, P. G. Bulger, D. Sarlah, [Angew. Chem.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/ange.200500369) 2005, 117, 4564 – 4601; [Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/anie.200500369) 2005, 44[, 4490 – 4527](http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/anie.200500369).
- [4] a) D. Bourgeois, A. Pancrazi, L. Ricard, J. Prunet, [Angew. Chem.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1521-3757(20000218)112:4%3C741::AID-ANGE741%3E3.0.CO;2-J) 2000, 112[, 741 – 744](http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1521-3757(20000218)112:4%3C741::AID-ANGE741%3E3.0.CO;2-J); [Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1521-3773(20000218)39:4%3C725::AID-ANIE725%3E3.0.CO;2-I) 2000, 39, 725 – 728; b) D. Bourgeois, J. Mahuteau, A. Pancrazi, S. P. Nolan, J. Prunet, [Synthesis](http://dx.doi.org/10.1055/s-2000-6263) 2000[, 869 – 882.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1055/s-2000-6263)
- [5] C. S. Swindell, B. P. Patel, [J. Org. Chem.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/jo00288a002) 1990, 55, 3-5.
- [6] For a preliminary account of this work, see: S. Schiltz, C. Ma, L. Ricard, J. Prunet, [J. Organomet. Chem.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jorganchem.2006.08.078) 2006, 691, 5438 – 5443.
- [7] C. Pétrier, J.-L. Luche, *J. Org. Chem.* **1985**, 50, 910-912.
- [8] M. Frigerio, M. Santagostino, S. Sputore, [J. Org. Chem.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/jo9824596) 1999, 64, [4537 – 4538](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/jo9824596).
- [9] B. Muller, F. Delaloge, M. den Hartog, J.-P. Férézou, A. Pancrazi, J. Prunet, J.-Y. Lallemand, A. Neuman, T. Prangé, [Tetrahedron Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0040-4039(96)00538-2) 1996, 37[, 3313 – 3316.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0040-4039(96)00538-2)
- [10] J. Boukouvalas, Y.-X. Cheng, J. Robichaud, [J. Org. Chem.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/jo972208h) 1998, 63, [228 – 229.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/jo972208h)
- [11] C. Cavé, D. Desmaële, J. d'Angelo, C. Riche, A. Chiaroni, J. Org. Chem. 1996, 61, 4361-4368.
- [12] Diols **15a** and **15b** would be *trans* if the B ring were closed.
- [13] D. Bourgeois, G. Maiti, A. Pancrazi, J. Prunet, Synlett 2000, 323 326.
- [14] P. Schwab, M. B. France, J. W. Ziller, R. H. Grubbs, [Angew. Chem.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/ange.19951071818) 1995, 107, 2179-2181; [Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/anie.199520391) 1995, 34, 2039-[2041.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/anie.199520391)
- [15] M. Scholl, S. Ding, C. W. Lee, R. H. Grubbs, [Org. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/ol990909q) 1999, 1, 953-[956.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/ol990909q)
- [16] a) J. Huang, E. D. Stevens, S. P. Nolan, J. L. Peterson, [J. Am. Chem.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/ja9831352) Soc. 1999, 121, 2674-2678; b) M. Scholl, T. M. Trnka, J. P. Morgan, R. H. Grubbs, [Tetrahedron Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0040-4039(99)00217-8) 1999, 40, 2247 – 2250.
- [17] CCDC-683187 contains the supplementary crystallographic data for this paper. These data can be obtained free of charge from The Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre via www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/ data_request/cif.
- [18] K. Neimert-Andersson, P. Somfai, Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2006, 4, 978-985.
- [19] D. C. Braddock, G. Cansell, S. A. Hermitage, A. J. P. White, [Tetrahe](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.tetasy.2004.07.036)[dron: Asymmetry](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.tetasy.2004.07.036) 2004, 15, 3123 – 3129.
- [20] P. H. J. Carlsen, T. Katsuki, V. S. Martin, K. B. Sharpless, [J. Org.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/jo00332a045) [Chem.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/jo00332a045) 1981, 46, 3936-3938.
- [21] For more non-metathetic reactions with Grubbs carbene, see: B. Alcaide, P. Almendros, [Chem. Eur. J.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/chem.200390142) 2003, 9, 1258 – 1262.
- [22] Calculations were run with ChemDraw 11.0 (CambrideSoft) by using an MM2 force field.

Received: April 23, 2008 Published online: July 4, 2008

Ring-Closing Metathesis **Ring-Closing Metathesis**